



### Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup>

1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria





UK Ministry of Defence © Crown Owned Copyright 2021/AWE

PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS

CTBTO.ORG



Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup> 1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



ABSTRACT

The UK National Data Centre (NDC) operates a series of customdeveloped software tools for the automatic processing, analysis, archiving and interpretation of radionuclide (RN) data from the International Monitoring System (IMS). The tools include an RN Pipeline for the analysis of radionuclide data (noble gas and particulate), and a series of simulation pipelines to provide accompanying atmospheric transport modelling (ATM) data. The ATM products are triggered on the identification of an 'RN detection event', which can include radioxenon plumes or 'high-priority' detections.

An overview of the toolset is presented, along with case-studies using interesting RN detections from recent years, such as the Scandinavian fission products (2020) and Dubna iodine detections (2020).

Fig. 1. Radionuclide and atmospheric transport modelling pipeline diagrams, detailing the current 'detection event' analysis processes



Disclaimer: The views expressed on this poster are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the CTBTO



Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup> 1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



<sup>131</sup>I is frequently detected at RUP61. Detections at RUP54 have been correlated with these using backwards ATM simulations These detections were flagged by the UK NDC RN Pipeline as high-category samples, since multiple fission products were detected

<sup>134</sup>Cs, <sup>137</sup>Cs & <sup>103</sup>Ru were detected at SEP63 (collection start 22-06-2020).
Other fission products were detected at national monitoring stations.

"The Scandinavian Fission Products"

#### **RN Detection Event Analysis**

Identify radionuclide events based on the time series and composition analysis, and use a combination of sample categorisation and 'plume' detection

- <sup>133</sup>Xe plumes are often detected back-to-back detections of <sup>133</sup>Xe showing a plume passing through a station location, over multiple collection periods
- <u>RN Categorisation</u> logic based on detections most likely from a nuclear explosion (particulate & noble gas)
- Radionuclide bulletins are produced using UK NDC analysis results

For detected events:

- Activate TRAJ\_Pipeline (HYSPLIT trajectory runs) to highlight wind vector fields for the 48 hours preceding the detection(s)
- Activate Backward ATM Simulation (HYSPLIT) to identify a Possible Source Region (PSR)
- Compile an Event file HTML data file to visualise all event-related data (CARVE)

Disclaimer: The views expressed on this poster are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the CTBTO





Fig. 2. TRAJ model from the SEP63 detections

Are the lodine RN detections correlated? RN detection → RN detection event ? What is the source of the SEP63 detections? SnT 2021 CTBT: SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE Poster No.: P2.1-487

#### UK National Data Centre: Radionuclide Event Analysis

Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup> 1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



## Backwards Simulations



Disclaimer: The views expressed on this poster are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the CTBTO

PUTTING AN END TO NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS

# Measurement date: 2020-06-20 09:00:00 \_3 Timestep: 2020-06-20 03:00:00 \_3 Frame number: 2 urement date: 2020-06-20 09:00-00 Firmestep: 2020-06-19 18:00:00 Frame number: 5 surement date: 2020-06-20 09:00: estep: 2020-06-19 09:00:00 Fig. 4. SRS fields generated from the backwards simulation, displayed for t-2, t-5 and t-8 hrs prior to collection

**SRS** Fields

The Source-Receptor-Sensitivity (SRS) fields generated can be used to determine a possible source location, together with the results of possibly correlated RN detections.



S

E

Ц

Ш

**UK National Data Centre: Radionuclide Event Analysis** 

Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup> 1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



In order to determine whether multiple RN detections (possibly at different locations) are correlated, we consider the temporal and spatial overlap of the backwards atmospheric transport and dispersion plumes. The overlap region is quantified using Equations 1 - 2. If the RN detection events score high, they are considered to be <u>Correlated RN Detection Events</u>

Multiple RN detection events correlated

$$M_{time} = 1 - \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta t_1}{t_1} + \frac{\Delta t_2}{t_2}\right)}{2} \qquad \text{Eq. 2}$$

 $M_{spatial} = \frac{2 \cdot A_{1+2}}{(A_1 + A_2)}$ 

The  $M_{\text{spatial}}$  and  $M_{\text{time}}$  metrics score the degree of spatial and time overlap using the plume area  $A_n$  at time  $t_n$ , for simulation n.

The overlap region is plotted for each correlated RN detection event (see Fig. 5)



Fig. 5. The backwards overlap region of the <sup>131</sup>I detections at RUP61 and RUP54

	Table 1. A selection of correlated RN detection events recorded in the NDC events database	
--	--	--

Eq. 1

	Period	Station 1	Station 2	M <sub>time</sub> · M <sub>spatial</sub>	Common Isotopes
	April-21	RUP54	RUP61	0.3916	131
≯	Feb-21	RUP54	RUP61	0.26	131
	Sept-20	RUP54	RUP61	0.1779	131
≯	Feb-21	RUP54	RUP61	0.154	131
≯	Feb-21	RUP54	RUP61	0.1427	131
	Dec-20	CNP20	MNP45	0.1379	131

Can we determine a source location based on the detections (and nearby nondetections)...?

Disclaimer: The views expressed on this poster are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the CTBTO



Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup> 1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



Forensic Radionuclide Event Analysis and Reconstruction (FREAR) is a programme developed by a Belgian/Canadian collaboration and can be used to reconstruct a source (release location, release amount, and release start and release stop times) using observations from monitoring networks such as the IMS. UK NDC use FREAR to determine the possible source parameters for each *correlated RN detection event*.

The UK NDC have implemented the FREAR code into an automated event analysis pipeline. Upon the assignment of <u>potentially correlated</u> <u>detection events</u>, the relevant radionuclide measurement information and SRS fields are provided as inputs to FREAR.

The outputs can be visualised in the UK NDC CARVE software, and can be compared with the outputs of ATM Pipeline to assess emission contributions from forward simulations.

From the FREAR results collected in this process, the effect of adding non-detects is evaluated and the data is stored in the event analysis database.

The flow diagram above represents the UK NDC processing of *correlated RN detection events* using FREAR.



For more information on FREAR, see poster by lan Hoffman P3.5-407, or:

De Meutter, P., Hoffman, I., Bayesian source reconstruction of an anomalous Selenium-75 release at a nuclear research institute, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 218, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2020.106225.

Disclaimer: The views expressed on this poster are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the CTBTO

SnT 2021 CTBT: SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE Poster No.: P2.1-487

#### UK National Data Centre: Radionuclide Event Analysis

Matthew A. Goodwin<sup>1</sup>, Daniel L. Chester<sup>1</sup>, Ashley V. Davies<sup>1</sup>, Rich Britton<sup>2</sup>, Joshua Border<sup>1</sup> 1. AWE Plc, Aldermaston, Reading, RG7 4PR, UK 2. PTS, CTBTO, Vienna, Austria



FREAR identifies a source and provides source magnitude, location and emission time/duration. This information is collected from FREAR and recorded. Visual maps are generated to display the results.

The UK NDC is currently participating in a blind test of FREAR, organised by Health Canada and  $\mathsf{AFTAC}$ 

FREAR testing underway to investigate correlated RN detection events. Current questions include:

- If we constrain the location can we better estimate the magnitude?
- What is the effect of adding extra non-detects?

ATM Pipeline shows that there are contributions from forward simulations of 'Karpov Institute', near Moscow, during the period 01-02-2021 to 03-02-2021.

Results based on IMS RN data and SRS fields



Disclaimer: The views expressed on this poster are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the view of the CTBTO



lor

location, magnitude and release times, based on the detections and SRS fields. The estimated magnitude is 10<sup>11</sup> Bq.

**FREAR** estimates the





Fig. 7. (right) FREAR source location for the lodine RN detection event. The red shading represents the probability distribution of the source location (shown as histograms – left).

Detections of <sup>131</sup>I on the IMS present an ideal opportunity to test new methods for source location analysis