



Martin Kalinowski<sup>1</sup>, Matthias Auer<sup>1</sup>, Ted Bowyer<sup>2</sup>, Matthew Cooper<sup>2</sup>, Charlie Doll<sup>2</sup>, James Ely<sup>2</sup>, Paul Eslinger<sup>2</sup>, Judah Friese<sup>2</sup>, Sid Hellman<sup>1</sup>, Lori Metz<sup>2</sup>, Mihaela Rizescu<sup>1</sup>, Ramesh Sarathi<sup>2</sup>, Brian Schrom<sup>2</sup>, Cari Seifert<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instrumental Software Technologies, Inc. (ISTI), <sup>2</sup>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)

P2.3-218

- This poster is about predictive source models
- Created from stack data collected by the Source Term Analysis of Xenon (STAX) project
- Find out how much you can learn from these source models, e.g.
  - At what distance may a source still be detected?
  - Can a release from a MIPF or an NPP ever cause isotopic ratios above the IDC screening threshold when observed in an IMS sample?

Source model	Action	Interpretation
Release pattern over a day, week, or year	Check variability	Is the continuous release assumption reasonable?
Concentration distribution as probability density function	Apply dilution factors	Assess detectability as a function of distance
Parameters like maximum of $^{135}\text{Xe}/^{133}\text{Xe}$ isotopic ratio	Determine maximum	Compare with IDC screening threshold