Estimating Crustal Velocity Structure in Alaska from Acoustic-to-Seismic Coupling from the 2022 Hunga Eruption, Tonga

Kenneth A. Macpherson¹ David Fee¹ Juliann R. Colwell¹ Stefan Awender¹ Bryant Chow¹ Samuel Delamere¹ Matthew Haney²

¹Wilson Alaska Technical Center University of Alaska Fairbanks ²Alaska Volcano Observatory United States Geological Survey

Infrasound Technology Workshop November 8, 2024



This work was supported by the Nuclear Arms Control Technology (NACT) Program at Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA).

Cleared for release





Motivation

Use the once-in-a-lifetime source from the Hunga, Tonga eruption to examine crustal structure using acoustic-seismic coupling at deeper depths than is typically possible





Tonga Geological Survey



Hunga, Tonga Infrasound in Alaska

- 150 stations equipped with colocated, broadband:
 - seismic (BH?)
 - infrasound (BDF)
 - barometer (BDO)
- Large pressure amplitudes (> 60.0 Pa) at huge offsets!







- Sorrels, 1971

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling





Infrasound (BDF)

Seismic (BHZ)

9750

9250

8750

8500



- Sorrels, 1971

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling





Infrasound (BDF)

Seismic (BHZ)

9750

9250

8750

8500

15:00



- Sorrels, 1971

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling



Ε

stance

WILSON UNVESTOR



- Sorrels, 1971

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling



Seismic (BHZ)nfrasound (BDF)





- Sorrels, 1971

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling





Seismic (BHZ)

Infrasound (BDF)

9750

đ tanc



- Sorrels, 1971

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling





Seismic (BHZ)

Infrasound (BDF)

9750

9250

8750

đ stanc



"...colocated data allow us to identify the frequency range of strong coupling between the atmosphere and the solid Earth and provide us information on how the solid Earth responds to surface pressure changes." —Tanimoto and Wang,

2018

Pressure-to-Seismic Coupling







Seismic (BHZ)

Infrasound (BDF)



We choose bands with good coherence (> 0.8) between the seismic and pressure:

The magnitude squared coherence between the pressure and vertical seismic is:

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{\overline{G_{PS}}^2}{\overline{G_{PP}}\overline{G_{SS}}}$$

Where G_{ps} is the cross spectral density of the pressure and seismic, and G_{pp} and G_{ss} are the autospectral densities





Network Coherence







Network Coherence





Network Coherence





Broadband infrasound and seismic

Coupling Calculation

Coupling spectra is simply the ratio of seismic amplitudes to infrasound amplitudes:

$$\Gamma(f) = \sqrt{\frac{PSD_s(f)}{PSD_p(f)}},$$

Where PSD_s and PSD_p are the seismic and pressure power spectral densities, respectively.

Also, a rule of thumb for the depth sensitivity of coupling to material parameters is give by;

 $h = 0.15 \cdot c \cdot T$

Where *h* is depth, *T* is period, and *c* is the pressure source speed. (Tanimoto and Wang, 2019)





Coupling Calculation

~depth

(km)

0.035

Coupling spectra is simply the ratio of seismic amplitudes to infrasound amplitudes:

$$\Gamma(f) = \sqrt{\frac{PSD_s(f)}{PSD_p(f)}},$$

Where PSD_s and PSD_p are the seismic and pressure power spectral densities, respectively.

Also, a rule of thumb for the depth sensitivity of coupling to material parameters is give by;

 $h = 0.15 \cdot c \cdot T$

Where *h* is depth, *T* is period, and *c* is the pressure source speed. (Tanimoto and Wang, 2019)





2.0

5.0



$$\Gamma(f) = \sqrt{\frac{PSD_s(f)}{PSD_p(f)}} = \frac{c(\lambda + 2\mu)}{2\mu(\lambda + \mu)}$$

Where **c** is sound speed and λ and μ are the first Lame' parameter and rigidity, respectively (Sorrels, 1971)





$$\Gamma(f) = \sqrt{\frac{PSD_s(f)}{PSD_p(f)}} = \frac{c(\lambda + 2\mu)}{2\mu(\lambda + \mu)}$$

Where **c** is sound speed and λ and μ are the first Lame' parameter and rigidity, respectively (Sorrels, 1971)



Estimating Near-Surface Rigidity from Low-Frequency Noise Using Collocated Pressure and Horizontal Seismic Data _{Jong Wang'' and Toshiro Tanimoto'}





$$\Gamma(f) = \sqrt{\frac{PSD_s(f)}{PSD_p(f)}} = \frac{c(\lambda + 2\mu)}{2\mu(\lambda + \mu)}$$

Where *c* is sound speed and λ and μ are the first Lame' parameter and rigidity, respectively (Sorrels, 1971)

$$\bar{\mu} = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\lambda + 2\mu} \mu$$

Estimating Near-Surface Rigidity from Low-Frequency Noise Using Collocated Pressure and Horizontal Seismic Data Jong Warg" and Toshiro Tanimoto'





$$\Gamma(f) = \sqrt{\frac{PSD_s(f)}{PSD_p(f)}} = \frac{c(\lambda + 2\mu)}{2\mu(\lambda + \mu)}$$

Where *c* is sound speed and λ and μ are the first Lame' parameter and rigidity, respectively (Sorrels, 1971)



Estimating Near-Surface Rigidity from Low-Frequency Noise Using Collocated Pressure and Horizontal Seismic Data _{Jong Wang'' and Toshiro Tanimoto'}







where ρ_{mod} is a depth-averaged density estimate from CRUST1.0



Compare to existing models:



1.4 Hz (35 m) Model: USGS proxy V₅₃₀ (Allen and Wald, 2007)



0.025 Hz (2.0 km) and 0.00975 Hz (5.0 km) Model: tomographic (Berg, *et al.*, 2020) Depth-averaged to appropriate depth





Results: Mean V_s for Upper 35 m (~1.4 Hz)











Results: Mean V_s for Upper 35 m (~1.4 Hz)





Background model from USGS global proxy V_{S30}



Results: Mean V_s for Upper 2.0 km (~0.025 Hz)



Background tomographic model from Berg, *et al.*, 2020 (depth averages)





Results: Mean V_s for Upper 5.0 km (~0.00975 Hz)



Background tomographic model from Berg, *et al.*, 2020 (depth averages)

coherence







Results: Comparison with 2013 Chelyabinsk Bolide



Photo: Alex Alishevskikh



	(m/s)	at 2.0	km
'S'	(1103)	at 2.0	IXIII

	Hunga	Chely	% diff
POKR	2630	2645	0.6
TOLK	2158	2156	0.08





-120 [gp][z₁

-140

-160

-180



Conclusions:

- Pressure waves from the Hunga, Tonga eruption produced air-to-ground coupled waves that were beautifully recorded in Alaska
- Microseismic amplitudes generally exceed coupled seismic amplitudes
- Coupling was inversely proportional to the rigidity of the elastic medium, and this was used to estimate bulk V_s
- Successful estimate of mean V_{s} to depths of:
 - **35 m**
 - **2.0 km**
 - **5.0 km**

Select References:

Busby, R. W., and K. Aderhold (2020). The Alaska transportable array: As built, Seismol. Res. Lett. 91, no. 6, 3017-3027, doi: 10.1785/0220200154.

Berg, E. M., Lin, F.-C., Allam, A., Schulte-Pelkum, V., Ward, K. M., & Shen, W. (2020). Shear velocity model of Alaska via joint inversion of Rayleigh wave ellipticity, phase velocities, and receiver functions across the Alaska transportable Array. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125

Laske, G., G. Masters, Z. Ma, and M. Pasyanos (2013). Update on CRUST1.0 - A 1-degree global model of Earth's crust, Geophysical Research Abstracts, 15, Abstract EGU2013-2658.

Sorrells, G. G. (1971) A preliminary investigation into the relationship between long-period seismic noise and local fluctuations in the atmospheric pressure field, Geophys. J. Int., 26, nos. 1/4, 71-82

Tanimoto, T., & Wang, J. (2019). Theory for deriving shallow elasticity structure from colocated seismic and pressure data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 124, 5811-5835.

Wald and Allen (2007). Topographic Slope as a Proxy for Seismic Site-Conditions (VS30) and Amplification Around the Globe, USGS Open File Report

Wang, J., and T. Tanimoto (2020). Estimating Near-Surface Rigidity from Low-Frequency Noise Using Collocated Pressure and Horizontal Seismic Data, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 110, 1960-1970

Wills, G., Nippress, A., Green, D. N., and Spence, P. J. Site-Specific Variations in Air-to-Ground Coupled Seismic Arrivals from the 2012 October 16 Explosion at Camp Minden, Louisiana, United States. Geophysical Journal International, 231(1):243-255, June 2022



Thank You! Questions or Comments?



