

Characterization of the 2022 South Atlantic fireball using IMS infrasound recordings

ITW2024

<u>Patrick Hupe</u>, Julien Vergoz, Benjamin Poste, Christoph Pilger, Alexis Le Pichon

7 Nov 2024

Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe

www.bgr.bund.de

Event details



Fireballs Reported by US Government Sensors

(1988-Apr-15 to 2024-Oct-22; limited to events >= 1kt)



Center for Near Earth Object Studies (CNEOS by NASA) based on peak brightness:

- 7 February 2022, 20:06:25 UTC
- ~500 km off the coast of South Africa and Namibia (28.7°S, 11.4°E) -
- Altitude 26.5 km, velocity 13.1 km/s
- Impact energy of 7 kt TNT equivalent, initial estimates were larger



Alan B. Chamberlin (JPL/Caltech)

Motivation

More than 20 IMS infrasound stations detected it (more than the Chelyabinsk bolide)

- > Characterize the South Atlantic event
- Utilize new detection algorithm MCML
- Compare with and revisit past strong events (Chelyabinsk 2013, Bering Sea 2018)

No reports in the media (nor social media). Only 3 weeks after Hunga's explosive eruption.



Ens et al. (2012)



Where to expect infrasound arrivals at IMS stations on 7 February 2022?





Hypersonic flight trajectory (direction) known from CNEOS data

Peak brightness indicates location of most explosive fragmentation

Proxy for propagation conditions:

effective sound speed ratio from ECMWF HRES analysis (max. at 40-50 km resp. to the ground(!) at each grid point)

23 IMS infrasound stations detected the event - using PMCC





Propagation to nearest IMS station: IS35, Namibia



Propagation to IS27, Antarctica





Propagation to IS01 (west) and IS26 (north) - no PMCC detections





Detections at up to 27 IMS infrasound stations - using MCML





IS26, ~8500 km distance

Energy estimation



ReVelle's relation (1997) based on period (P) at maximum amplitude, energy (E) in kt TNT

$$\log_{10}\left(\frac{E}{2}\right) = 3.34 \times \log_{10}\left(P\right) - 2.58; \frac{E}{2} \le 100 \text{ kt},$$

$$\log_{10}\left(\frac{E}{2}\right) = 4.14 \times \log_{10}\left(P\right) - 3.61; \frac{E}{2} > 40 \text{ kt}.$$

$$\int_{\frac{E}{2}}^{10^{1}} \int_{\frac{1533}{2}}^{1533} \int_{\frac{1535}{2}}^{1533} \int_{\frac{1534}{2}}^{1533} \int_{\frac{1534}$$

Revisiting the Chelyabinsk bolide event





From **Pilger et al.** (2015):

- Short orthodrome arrivals detected at **18 stations**
- +2 additional stations (IS21, IS24) for long orthodrome Ig2

With MCML: 2 additional Ig1 arrivals (IS07, IS19)

With today's network: ?? e.g. IS03, IS37, IS58, IS60

Summary & Conclusions



- Relatively large fireball event on 7-Feb-2022
- Hardly observed but well captured by up to 27 remote IMS infrasound stations
- Infrasound-based **energy estimate 5-10 kt TNT** (uncertainty range ~2-12 kt)
- Partly complex propagation paths for this elevated source ongoing investigation
- State-of-the-art processing method MCML (single source mode) provides more signal characteristics during low signal-to-noise conditions
- Encouraging to revisit past events, e.g. Chelyabinsk benchmark event
- IMS network performs well for various fireball yields (and PMCC, too)



Thank you!

Where to expect infrasound arrivals at IMS stations on 7 February 2022?



80 e location 60 .2 40 51 1.1 60 20 lat (deg) 50 0.9 0.0 0.0 24 -20 -40 -36 23 effective ; -60 -80-150 -100 -50 50 100 150 lon (deg)

Hypersonic flight trajectory (direction) known from **CNEOS** data

Peak brightness indicates location of most explosive fragmentation

Proxy for propagation conditions:

9

ratio rel.

effective sound speed ratio from ECMWF HRES analysis (max. at 40-50 km **resp. to** ~27 km at each grid point)