



Autor: Ana María Pérez Zeledón, MSc. (Venezuelan Foundation for Seismological Research – Funvisis)

I. ABSTRACT

On February 6, 2023, a devastating earthquake occurred in a transcontinental area located between Europe and Asia, east of Nurdagi, in the Turkish province of Gaziantep, at an estimated depth of 24.1 kilometers. This earthquake caused damage to approximately 170,000 buildings. It is one of the largest earthquakes recorded in the region in over 100 years, with a magnitude of 7.8 Mw, resulting in a regrettable death toll of more than 59,000 fatalities and 107,213 injuries. This event marks a significant turning point in global seismological research and the field of earthquake-resistant engineering, following the reported ground accelerations during the event. In terms of energy, this earthquake released an amount 500 times greater than the energy released by the Hiroshima bomb. This paper presents the results of the analysis of the available data from the IMS infrasound stations for the detection of this event, using DTK-GPMCC.

II. Introduction

Infrasound is one of the technologies used to verify the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty. Within the framework of the verification regime, the International Monitoring System (IMS) includes a 60-station infrasound monitoring network. This network is designed to reliably detect infrasonic signals from a 1-kiloton atmospheric nuclear explosion at two or more network stations. The stations are uniformly located across the globe. Each station consists of an array of high-sensitivity microbarometer sensors arranged in an optimal configuration for detecting signals from atmospheric explosions [1] and distinguishes the signals from natural phenomena such as meteorites, earthquake, volcanic eruptions, and weather events, as well as anthropogenic phenomena like the re-entry of space debris into the atmosphere, rocket launches, and supersonic aircraft. [2]

On February 6, 2023, Türkiye and Syria were affected by two shallow and major earthquakes in Nurdagi and Ekinözü, with magnitudes of Mw 7.8 and Mw 7.5, within the geodynamic context of eastern Anatolia [3]. According to data provided by the accelerometer network operated by the Turkish Disaster and Emergency Management Authority (AFAD), peak values reached nearly twice the acceleration of gravity [4], characterizing these events as the most destructive in the region in the last 100 years. These earthquakes caused severe structural damage and collapses, as well as a high number of fatalities and injuries.

This work presents the results of the analysis of available data from the IMS infrasound stations for the detection of the first of the aforementioned earthquakes using DTK-GPMCC [5]. Key tools for event characterization and recognition are highlighted, aiming to reinforce best practices within the verification regime. Additionally, the study emphasizes the capability of IMS technologies for scientific and civil applications, while providing scenarios that contribute to the continuous monitoring of network performance within the treaty framework.

III. Methodology

After carrying out a documentary review, on the published works, with free access, this work was developed following the stage shows below:

- 1 Data Source Identification
2 Data Extraction and Preprocessing
3 Data Processing for further analysis.
4 Analisis and Results Validation
5 Conclusions

IV. Results

Input = NMS_Client Data, Validation (Latitude: 37.2687°, Longitude: 37.0891°), Analysis (Main results for IS43RU and IS31KZ), Survey and Data Processing using DTK-GPMCC (Seismic and Infrasound waveforms), Source location and Pixel Projection (Zonal wind at 50 km, Earth map), Data Processing (IS43RU and IS31KZ), Fig. 7. Location estimated and pixel projection for IS43RU and IS31KZ.

IV. Conclusions

The DTK-GPMCC software enables the visualization of both seismic waveforms and the infrasound waves generated by seismic events. However, when implementing a 1/3 octave configuration, the pixels corresponding to seismic events can only be visualized by activating all pixels. This activation allows the observation of the arrival velocities of different seismic phases, providing comprehensive insights into the event. The tools such as Celerity Cursor, FK, Pixel Projection, and Azimuth Projection available on DTK-GPMCC are highly useful for the characterization and recognition of seismic events and various infrasound arrivals.

The analysis of selected stations for the case study has revealed that network performance is influenced by the seasonal oscillation of winds. This highlights the importance of considering environmental factors and seasons when selecting stations for event analysis or evaluating network performance and data accuracy.

Moreover, the analysis of events such as earthquakes and other natural or anthropogenic events using the tools available in the NDC in a Box package is a best practice. It enhances the performance level of National Data Centers (NDCs), preparing them for the entry into force of the treaty. It also allows for the testing of international monitoring system technologies in a controlled environment and ensures the proper calibration of the IMS stations, ensuring their optimal performance.

In the context of the verification regime, the detection of natural or anthropogenic events represents an exercise that allows the continuous evaluation and validation of the detection capabilities of the IMS stations. Incorporating this process into the analysis pipeline would enhance the system's reliability, enabling the assessment of the impact resulting from changes in the geometry of the network due to disruptions in its elements.

Overall, the use of DTK-GPMCC and NDC in a Box tools contributes significantly to the robustness and reliability of the IMS by ensuring accurate detection and analysis of potential events.

V. References

[1] Christie, D.R., Campus, P. (2010). The IMS Infrasound Network: Design and Establishment of Infrasound Stations. In: Le Pichon, A., Blanc, E., Hauchecorne, A. (eds) Infrasound Monitoring for Atmospheric Studies. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9508-5_2
[2] CTBTO (2022). El régimen de verificación del TPCE: vigilancia de la Tierra para la detección de explosiones nucleares. Available on: https://www.ctbto.org/sites/default/files/2022-08/verification_s.pdf
[3] Funvisis (2023). Lecciones Aprendidas desde la Perspectiva de la Sismorresistencia, Daños y Normativas, Terremoto de Türkiye y Siria, 06/02/2023. Informe Técnico FUN-004; 2023.
[4] Demir, A. et al (2024) Destructive impact of successive high magnitude earthquakes occurred in Türkiye's Kahramanmaraş on February 6, 2023. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering https://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-024-01865-5.
[5] Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives. Centre DAM-Île-de-France (2020).DTK-GPMCC V6.6.1. User Manual. Ref.: DTK-GPMCC-MU-1.23.

VI. Acknowledgment

Special thanks to the Preparatory Commission for the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization (CTBTO) and the Venezuelan Foundation for Seismological Research (Funvisis).