Testing and Design of Discriminants for Local Seismic Events Recorded During the Redmond Salt Mine Monitoring Experiment in Utah Rigobert Tibi¹, Nathan Downey¹, and Ronald Brogan² ¹) Sandia National Laboratories; ²) ENSCO, Inc.

03.5-197

Presentation Date: 20 June 2023





Acknowledgments: Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525. This Low Yield Nuclear Monitoring (LYNM) research was funded by the National Nuclear Security Administration, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development (NNSA DNN R&D). The authors acknowledge important interdisciplinary collaboration with scientists and engineers from LANL, LLNL, NNSS, PNNL, and SNL.

SAND2023-034070

INTRODUCTION



- The Redmond Salt Mine Monitoring Experiment in Utah was designed to record seismoacoustic data at distances less than 50 km for algorithm testing and development.
- During the experiment from October 2017 to July 2019, six broadband seismic stations were operating at a time, with three of them having fixed locations for the duration, while the three other stations were moved to different locations every one-and-half to two-and-half months.
- Redmond Salt Mine operations consist of night-time underground blasting several times per week.
- The mine is located within a belt of active seismicity, allowing for easy comparison of the mining blasts with tectonic earthquakes.











- We built 1373 events. For 284 of the events, both M_L and the coda duration magnitude (M_C) are well constrained.
- Based on the event locations and the signal onset characters, this subset was divided into three populations:
 - 75 blasts from the Redmond Salt Mine (RMEs),
 - 206 tectonic earthquakes (EQs), and
 - 3 blasts (**QBs**) from a mine/quarry located about 8 km from the Redmond Mine.
- We used the subset of events to test and design discriminants that are effective at local distances.



HOFBURG PALACE - Vienna and Online 19 TO 23 JUNE

MAGNITUDE-BASED DISCRIMINATION

RME

EQ

OB

3







EXAMPLE SEISMOGRAMS AND SPECTRA





- Differing excitations of Pg, Sg, and Rg between the events
- Steeper fall-off slope for EQ spectrum, indicating a relative deficiency in high-frequency energy
- Dissimilarities between event classes constitute the base for discriminants investigated





Measurement of Sg Phase Amplitudes (for Low Frequency Sg to High Frequency Sg Discriminant)



- The root mean square (RMS) of *Sg* amplitudes are measured in the four frequency bands 5 –10 Hz, 10–15 Hz, 15–20 Hz, and 20–30 Hz.
- As a result of the Parseval's theorem, the RMS in the time domain is equivalent to the RMS in the frequency domain.





Separation of Source Excitation, Propagation, and Site Terms

• The recorded amplitude *A_{ij}* for an event *i* recorded at a station *j* is expressed as in Equation 1.

$$\log A_{ij}(f) = \log EXC_i(f) + \log SITE_j(f) + \log G(r_{ij}, f)$$

- $EXC_i(f)$: Source excitation term for source *i*; $SITE_i(f)$: Site term for station *j*;
- $G(r_{ij}, f)$: Distance-correction term (combined effect of geometrical spreading and attenuation); r_{ij} : Distance from source *i* to station *j*.
- We parameterized the distance-correction term using a piecewise linear function (Yazd, 1993; Kintner et al., 2020). We defined series of nodes, r_k , in 5-km increment over the source-distance range of 5–100 km (k = 1, 2, ..., 20).
- Distance-correction term, $G(r_{ij}, f)$, approximated using linear interpolation:

$$G(r_{ij}, f) = G(r_k, f) + \frac{(r_{ij} - r_k)}{(r_{k+1} - r_k)} (G(r_{k+1}, f) - G(r_k, f))$$
(2)

• Linearized equation for an observation at r_{ij} between r_k and r_{k+1} :

$$\log A_{ij}(f) = \log EXC_i(f) + \log SITE_j(f) + q \log G(r_k, f) + p \log G(r_{k+1}, f),$$
where $p = \frac{(r_{ij} - r_k)}{(r_{k+1} - r_k)}$ and $q = 1 - p$
(3)

- Matrix form: a = Km
- *a*: Array of logarithm of amplitude measurements
- *m*: Array of logarithm of model parameters (source excitation terms, station site terms, and distance-correction terms at nodes 5, 10, 15, ..., 100 km)

 276 sources, 19 stations, and 20 distance nodes.
- <u>Constraint</u>: For $r_k = 5$ km, $G(r_k, f) = 1/r_k$ (i.e., only geometrical spreading, no attenuation)
- *m* is estimated using the SVD technique.

(1)

(4)



DISCRIMINATION BASED ON AMPLITUDE RATIOS



Propagation and Site Terms



As would be expected for a frequency-dependent attenuation model, high-frequency *Sg* signals are slightly more attenuated than low frequencies.







Source Terms and Low Frequency Sg to High Frequency Sg Discriminant



The degree of scattering of the data points increases with increasing frequency, likely due to decreasing SNR.



ROC curve for RMEs vs. EQs. The AUCs range from 0.80 to 0.92, with the highest value associated with $Sg_{[10-15Hz]}/Sg_{[20-30Hz]}$.

DISCRIMINANT COMPARISON









GOAL: Improve discriminant power by combining 2 or more (uncorrelated) classifiers

- A multivariate quadratic discriminant function (QDF), D(v), is defined as:
 - $\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{k}, \tag{5}$

where

$$A = -\frac{1}{2} \left(S_{re}^{-1} - S_{eq}^{-1} \right)$$
(6)

$$B = \mu_{ne}^{T} S_{re}^{-1} - \mu_{eq}^{T} S_{eq}^{-1}$$
(7)

$$k = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{|S_{re}|}{|S_{eq}|} \right) + \left(\mu_{re}^{T} S_{re}^{-1} \mu_{re} - \mu_{eq}^{T} S_{eq}^{-1} \mu_{eq} \right) \right]$$
(8)

 $v = (v_1, ..., v_n)^T$ is the *n*-dimensional vector of $M_L - M_C$ and/or amplitudes ratios; S_{re} and S_{eq} are the covariance matrices of the parameters; μ_{re} and μ_{eq} the vector means of the parameters for the learning events for the populations *re* and *eq*, respectively.

• An event of interest with the parameter vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ is classified as *re*-type if $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$ is positive, and *eq*-type if $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x})$ is negative, with $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{0}$ representing the classification line.

MULTIVARIATE DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS

0.5

0.0

-0.5

-1.0

-2.5 -3.0

-3.5

-4.0

ຊັ່−1.5

₹ -2.0



Combination	LM _{min} (%)	LM Improvement (%)
M _L – M _c and Iow Sg/high Sg	4.5	-0.2
M _L – M _C and Rg/Sg	0.3	4
M _L – M _C and Pg/Sg	7.0	-2.7
<i>low Sg/high Sg</i> and <i>Rg /Sg</i>	0.2	4.1
<i>low Sg/high Sg</i> and <i>Pg/Sg</i>	13.7	-9.4
Rg/Sg and Pg/Sg	0.4	3.9

LM improvements are relative to the best performing single classifier, *Rg/Sg.*



-1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 Log₁₀[Pg (12 Hz)/Sg (21 Hz)]

-0.4

-1.0

RME

MULTIVARIATE DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS





LM Improvement: -1.7%



LM Improvement: 0%



LM Improvement: 0%



CONCLUSIONS



- We tested and designed several classifiers to separate the population of mining blasts from the group of earthquakes recorded at local distances. The classifiers consist of M_L-M_C, low frequency Sg to high frequency Sg, Pg/Sg, and Rg/Sg ratios, and different combinations of 2 or more of these classifiers.
- While the areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.92-1.0 for M_L-M_C , low Sg/high Sg, and Rg/Sg indicate that these discriminants are very effective, the AUC of only 0.57 suggests that Pg/Sg is only slightly better than a random classifier.
- Among the individual classifiers, Rg/Sg, which is a depth discriminant, shows the lowest likelihood of misclassification (LM_{min} = 4.3%) for the populations.



To improve the discriminant power, we combined 2 and more of the discriminants by performing multivariate discriminant analyses. For the bivariate classifier, the combination of *Rg/Sg* with *low Sg/high Sg* provides the largest improvement (4.1% or LM_{min} = 0.2%) over the best single discriminant, while for the best performing trivariate classifier this improvement is 4.2% (LM_{min} = 0.1%).